

Geriatrik Üroonkolojide Radyoterapi

Çağlayan Selenge BEDÜK ESEN¹

Uğur SELEK²

GİRİŞ

Gelişmiş ülkelerde yaşam sürelerinin uzaması ile birlikte radyoterapi (RT) uygulanan hastaların yaş ortalaması her geçen gün artmaktadır (1). İlerleyen yaş ile birlikte azalmış RT toleransını gösteren bir çalışma olmamasına rağmen, yaşlı hastaların performans skorlarının genç hastalara kıyasla daha düşük olması ve komorbiditelerinin tedavi toleransını etkilemesi gibi sebeplerden dolayı adjuvan RT yaşlı hastalarda daha az sıklıkta tercih edilmektedir (2, 3). Buna karşılık, komorbiditelerinden dolayı cerrahi yapılamayan hastalarda invaziv olmayan bir tedavi seçeneği olarak küratif tedavide RT uygun bir seçenek olarak karşımıza çıkmaktadır. Yaşlı hastaların tedavisine karar verirken birçok faktör göz önünde bulundurulmalıdır. Hasta için herhangi bir tedavi seçimi yapmadan önce, hastanın önemli bir sakatlık olmaksızın bağımsız bir durumda kalan ortalama yaşam yılı sayısını dikkate almak önemlidir. Bununla birlikte günlük uygulamada, yaşam beklentisinin subjektif olarak tahmin edilmesi, çoğu klinisyenin kötümser bakış açısı nedeniyle yetersiz tedaviye yol açabilir (4). Hastaların tedavi öncesi uygunluğunu bireysel olarak belirlemek için etkili ve kanıta dayalı bir yaklaşım uygulanmalıdır. Bu, özellikle birden fazla komorbiditesi olan yaşlı hastalar için önemlidir. Bu nedenle, “Kapsamlı Geriatrik Değerlendirme (CGA)” bireyselleştirilmiş sağkalımı öngörmek ve potansiyel toksisiteyi tahmin etmek için tasarlanmıştır (5). Günlük Yaşam Aktiviteleri (ADL) bağımlılığı, komorbiditeyi ve potansiyel sosyal destek ihtiyacını belirlemek için kullanılan bir başka değerlendirme aracıdır. Uluslararası Geriatrik Onkoloji Derneği (SIOG), yaşlı prostat kanseri hastalarının yönetimi için G8 geriatrik tarama aracını önermiştir (6). Bu araç, gıda alımı, kilo kaybı, vücut kitle indeksi (VKİ), hareketlilik, biliş, polifarmasi, yaş ve sağlık durumunu değerlendirerek herhangi bir onkolojik tedaviden önce ek değerlendirme veya müdahale

¹ Uzm. Dr., Amerikan Hastanesi, Radyasyon Onkolojisi Bölümü, caglayane@amerikanhastanesi.org, ORCID iD: 0000-0001-9967-8177

² Prof. Dr., Amerikan Hastanesi, Radyasyon Onkolojisi Bölümü ve Koç Üniversitesi Hastanesi, Radyasyon Onkolojisi AD. UgurS@amerikanhastanesi.org ORCID iD: 0000-0001-8087-3140

dır. Geriatrik hastalarda definitif tedavi alabilecek hasta grubunu iyi seçebilmek için hastaların performansı, yaşı ve komorbiditesi gibi durumlar dikkate alınmalıdır. Ayrıca bu yaş grubundaki hastaların kırılğan, komorbiditeleri olan hastalar olduğu dikkate alınarak tedaviye uyumu arttırmak için tedavi süresini kısaltan hipofraksiyone RT ve olumsuz orta riskli ve yüksek riskli hastalarda ise hipofraksiyone RT' ye ADT eklenmesi önerilmelidir.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Extermann M, Brain E, Canin B, et al. Priorities for the global advancement of care for older adults with cancer: an update of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology Priorities Initiative. *Lancet Oncology*. 2021;22(1):e29-e36.
2. Horiot JC. Radiation therapy and the geriatric oncology patient. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2007;25(14):1930-1935.
3. VanderWalde N, Hurria A, Jaggi R. Improving Consistency and Quality of Care for Older Adults With Cancer: The Challenges of Developing Consensus Guidelines for Radiation Therapy. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2017;98(4):721-725.
4. Sammon JD, Abdollah F, D'Amico A, et al. Predicting Life Expectancy in Men Diagnosed with Prostate Cancer. *European Urology*. 2015;68(5):756-765.
5. Hurria A, Gupta S, Zauderer M, et al. Developing a cancer-specific geriatric assessment: a feasibility study. *Cancer*. 2005;104(9):1998-2005.
6. Bellera CA, Rainfray M, Mathoulin-Pellissier S, et al. Screening older cancer patients: first evaluation of the G-8 geriatric screening tool. *Annals of Oncology*. 2012;23(8):2166-2172.
7. Walston J, Hadley EC, Ferrucci L, et al. Research agenda for frailty in older adults: toward a better understanding of physiology and etiology: summary from the American Geriatrics Society/National Institute on Aging Research Conference on Frailty in Older Adults. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*. 2006;54(6):991-1001.
8. Dhakal P, Wichman CS, Pozehl B, et al. Preferences of adults with cancer for systemic cancer treatment: do preferences differ based on age? *Future Oncology*. 2022;18(3):311-321.
9. Aitken K, Mukherjee S. When Less is More: The Rising Tide of Hypofractionation. *Clinical Oncology (R Coll Radiol)*. 2022;34(5):277-279.
10. Dawson LA, Jaffray DA. Advances in image-guided radiation therapy. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2007;25(8):938-96.
11. Siegel RL, Kratz TB, Giaquinto AN, et al. Cancer statistics, 2025. *CA A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 2025;75(1):10-45.
12. Quivy A, Daste A, Harbaoui A, et al. Optimal management of renal cell carcinoma in the elderly: a review. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2013;8:433-442.
13. Pajunen H, Veitonmaki T, Huhtala H, et al. Prognostic factors of renal cell cancer in elderly patients: a population-based cohort study. *Scientific Reports*. 2024;14(1):6295.
14. Mathis S, Sierpina VS. Kidney Cancer Integrative Oncology: Possible Options for Care. *Current Oncology Reports*. 2023;25(9):1071-1080.
15. Tran J, Ornstein MC. Clinical Review on the Management of Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma. *JCO Oncology Practice*. 2022;18(3):187-196.
16. Campbell SC, Clark PE, Chang SS, et al. Renal Mass and Localized Renal Cancer: Evaluation, Management, and Follow-Up: AUA Guideline: Part I. *Journal of Urology*. 2021;206(2):199-208.
17. Powles T, Albiger L, Bex A, et al. Renal cell carcinoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Annals of Oncology*. 2024;35(8):692-706.
18. NCCN Guidelines Version 3.2025 Kidney Cancer 2025 [updated 09.01.2025. 3.2025:[Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/kidney.pdf.
19. Siva S, Bressel M, Sidhom M, et al. Stereotactic ablative body radiotherapy for primary kidney cancer (TROG 15.03 FASTER II): a non-randomised phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncology*. 2024;25(3):308-316.
20. Siva S, Louie AV, Warner A, et al. Pooled analysis of stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for primary renal cell carcinoma: A report from the International Radiosurgery Oncology Consortium for Kidney (IROCK). *Cancer*. 2018;124(5):934-942.
21. Hannan R, McLaughlin MF, Pop LM, et al. Phase 2 Trial of Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy for Patients with Primary Renal Cancer. *European Urology*. 2023;84(3):275-286.

22. Staehler M, Schuler T, Spek A, et al. Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of Single Fraction Robotic Radiosurgery Versus Open Partial Nephrectomy in Renal Cell Carcinoma: Oncological Outcomes. *Cureus*. 2022;14(1):e21623.
23. Siva S, Louie AV, Kotecha R, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for primary renal cell carcinoma: a systematic review and practice guideline from the International Society of Stereotactic Radiosurgery (ISRS). *Lancet Oncology*. 2024;25(1):e18-e28.
24. Grubb WR, Ponsky L, Lo SS, et al. Final results of a dose escalation protocol of stereotactic body radiotherapy for poor surgical candidates with localized renal cell carcinoma. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*. 2021;155:138-43.
25. Kessler ER, Kukreja JB, Geiger CL, et al. Treating Elderly Patients With Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*. 2020;18(6):783-790.
26. Abdollah F, Gandaglia G, Thuret R, et al. Incidence, survival and mortality rates of stage-specific bladder cancer in United States: a trend analysis. *Cancer Epidemiology*. 2013;37(3):219-225.
27. Mak RH, Hunt D, Shipley WU, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer after selective bladder-preserving combined-modality therapy: a pooled analysis of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group protocols 8802, 8903, 9506, 9706, 9906, and 0233. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2014;32(34):3801-3809.
28. Fahmy O, Khairul-Asri MG, Schubert T, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the oncological long-term outcomes after trimodality therapy and radical cystectomy with or without neoadjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Urologic Oncology*. 2018;36(2):43-53.
29. EAU Guidelines on Muscle-invasive and Metastatic Bladder Cancer 2025 [2025:[Available from: <https://d56bochluxqnz.cloudfront.net/documents/full-guideline/EAU-Guidelines-on-Muscle-Invasive-Bladder-Cancer-2025.pdf>].
30. NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2025 Bladder Cancer 2025 [Version 1.2025:[Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bladder.pdf].
31. Ghosh S. Cisplatin: The first metal based anticancer drug. *Bioorganic Chemistry*. 2019;88:102925.
32. Hoskin PJ, Rojas AM, Bentzen SM, et al. Radiotherapy with concurrent carbogen and nicotinamide in bladder carcinoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010;28(33):4912-4918.
33. Coen JJ, Zhang P, Saylor PJ, et al. Bladder Preservation With Twice-a-Day Radiation Plus Fluorouracil/ Cisplatin or Once Daily Radiation Plus Gemcitabine for Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer: NRG/RTOG 0712-A Randomized Phase II Trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2019;37(1):44-51.
34. Hurmuz P, Ozyigit G, Kilickap S, et al. Gemcitabine based trimodality treatment in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer: May neutrophil lymphocyte and platelet lymphocyte ratios predict outcomes? *Urologic Oncology*. 2021;39(6):368 e19-e29.
35. Fedeli U, Fedewa SA, Ward EM. Treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer: evidence from the National Cancer Database, 2003 to 2007. *Journal of Urology*. 2011;185(1):72-78.
36. Gray PJ, Fedewa SA, Shipley WU, et al. Use of potentially curative therapies for muscle-invasive bladder cancer in the United States: results from the National Cancer Data Base. *European Urology*. 2013;63(5):823-829.
37. Mohamed HAH, Salem MA, Elnaggar MS, et al. Trimodalities for bladder cancer in elderly: Transurethral resection, hypofractionated radiotherapy and gemcitabine. *Cancer Radiotherapie*. 2018;22(3):236-240.
38. Westergren DO, Gardmark T, Lindhagen L, et al. A Nationwide, Population Based Analysis of Patients with Organ Confined, Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Not Receiving Curative Intent Therapy in Sweden from 1997 to 2014. *Journal of Urology*. 2019;202(5):905-912.
39. Choudhury A, Porta N, Hall E, et al. Hypofractionated radiotherapy in locally advanced bladder cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis of the BC2001 and BCON trials. *Lancet Oncology*. 2021;22(2):246-255.
40. Huddart R, Hafeez S, Lewis R, et al. Clinical Outcomes of a Randomized Trial of Adaptive Plan-of-the-Day Treatment in Patients Receiving Ultra-hypofractionated Weekly Radiation Therapy for Bladder Cancer. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2021;110(2):412-424.
41. Birsen Yücel EE. Definitive radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy results and side effects in elderly bladder cancer patients. *Cumhuriyet Medical Journal*. 2019;41(4):703-711.
42. Ali A, Song YP, Mehta S, et al. Palliative Radiation Therapy in Bladder Cancer-Importance of Patient Selection: A Retrospective Multicenter Study. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2019;105(2):389-393.
43. Duchesne GM, Bolger JJ, Griffiths GO, et al. A randomized trial of hypofractionated schedules of palliative radiotherapy in the management of bladder carcinoma: results of medical research council trial BA09. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2000;47(2):379-388.

44. Bechis SK, Carroll PR, Cooperberg MR. Impact of age at diagnosis on prostate cancer treatment and survival. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2011;29(2):235-241.
45. Pettersson A, Robinson D, Garmo H, et al. Age at diagnosis and prostate cancer treatment and prognosis: a population-based cohort study. *Annals of Oncology*. 2018;29(2):377-385.
46. Shaheen H, Salans MA, Mohamad O, et al. Age 70 +/- 5 Years and Cancer-Specific Outcomes After Treatment of Localized Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2024;118(3):672-681.
47. Eastham JA, Aufferberg GB, Barocas DA, et al. Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: AUA/ASTRO Guideline, Part I: Introduction, Risk Assessment, Staging, and Risk-Based Management. *Journal of Urology*. 2022;208(1):10-18.
48. NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2025 Prostate Cancer 2025 [Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf].
49. D'Amico AV, Chen MH, Renshaw AA, et al. Androgen suppression and radiation vs radiation alone for prostate cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2008;299(3):289-295.
50. Jones CU, Hunt D, McGowan DG, et al. Radiotherapy and short-term androgen deprivation for localized prostate cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2011;365(2):107-118.
51. Rider JR, Sandin F, Andren O, et al. Long-term outcomes among noncuratively treated men according to prostate cancer risk category in a nationwide, population-based study. *European Urology*. 2013;63(1):88-96.
52. Ogawa K, Nakamura K, Onishi H, et al. Influence of age on the pattern and outcome of external beam radiotherapy for clinically localized prostate cancer. *Anticancer Research*. 2006;26(2B):1319-1325.
53. Bekelman JE, Mitra N, Efstathiou J, et al. Outcomes after intensity-modulated versus conformal radiotherapy in older men with nonmetastatic prostate cancer. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2011;81(4):e325-334.
54. Yu T, Zhang Q, Zheng T, et al. The Effectiveness of Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy versus Three-Dimensional Radiation Therapy in Prostate Cancer: A Meta-Analysis of the Literatures. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):e0154499.
55. Benitez CM, Chuong MD, Kunzel LA, et al. MRI-Guided Adaptive Radiation Therapy. *Seminars in Radiation Oncology*. 2024;34(1):84-91.
56. Dearnaley D, Syndikus I, Mossop H, et al. Conventional versus hypofractionated high-dose intensity-modulated radiotherapy for prostate cancer: 5-year outcomes of the randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 CHHiP trial. *Lancet Oncology*. 2016;17(8):1047-1060.
57. Tree AC, Ostler P, van der Voet H, et al. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy versus stereotactic body radiotherapy for prostate cancer (PACE-B): 2-year toxicity results from an open-label, randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Oncology*. 2022;23(10):1308-1320.
58. Dasu A, Toma-Dasu I. Prostate alpha/beta revisited -- an analysis of clinical results from 14 168 patients. *Acta Oncology*. 2012;51(8):963-974.
59. Catton CN, Lukka H, Gu CS, et al. Randomized Trial of a Hypofractionated Radiation Regimen for the Treatment of Localized Prostate Cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2017;35(17):1884-1890.
60. Niazi T, Nabid A, Malagon T, et al. Hypofractionated, Dose Escalation Radiation Therapy for High-Risk Prostate Cancer: The Safety Analysis of the Prostate Cancer Study-5, a Groupe de Radio-Oncologie Genito-Urinaire de Quebec Led Phase 3 Trial. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2024;118(1):52-62.
61. Widmark A, Gunnlaugsson A, Beckman L, et al. Ultra-hypofractionated versus conventionally fractionated radiotherapy for prostate cancer: 5-year outcomes of the HYPO-RT-PC randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10196):385-395.
62. van As N, Griffin C, Tree A, et al. Phase 3 Trial of Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy in Localized Prostate Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2024;391(15):1413-1425.
63. Rasmusson E, Gunnlaugsson A, Wieslander E, et al. Erectile Dysfunction and Absorbed Dose to Penile Base Structures in a Randomized Trial Comparing Ultrahypofractionated and Conventionally Fractionated Radiation Therapy for Prostate Cancer. *International Journal of Radiotherapy Oncology Biology Physics*. 2020;107(1):143-151.
64. Krauss DJ, Karrison T, Martinez AA, et al. Dose-Escalated Radiotherapy Alone or in Combination With Short-Term Androgen Deprivation for Intermediate-Risk Prostate Cancer: Results of a Phase III Multi-Institutional Trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2023;41(17):3203-3216.
65. Kishan AU, Sun Y, Hartman H, et al. Androgen deprivation therapy use and duration with definitive radiotherapy for localised prostate cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncology*. 2022;23(2):304-316.